On the Mode of Baker's Yeast Reduction of Enantiomeric 4-Acyl Butanolides

Giovanni Fronza, Claudio Fuganti", Piero Grasselli, Rosalino Pulido-Fernandez, f Stefano Servi, Auro Tagliani and Marco Terreni

Dipartimento di Chimica. Politecnico di Milano, CNR Centro di Studio per le Sostanze Organiche Naturali, Piazza L. da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy, and ^{\$}Departamento de Ouimica Organometalica, Facultad de Quimicas, Universitad de Oviedo, 33071 Oviedo, Spain.

(Received in UK 18 September 1991)

Abstract: 4-acyl butanolides $(R)-(1a)$ and $(R)-(1b)$, bearing n-alkyl chains, on yeast treatment afford exclusively the syn reduction products (4a) and (4b), whereas the (S) enantiomers yield syn (2a) and (2b) in ca. 6:4 ratio with the anti diastereoisomers (3a) and (3b), respectively. Under the same conditions, $(S)-(1c)$ and $(R)-(1c)$ give rise to syn and anti-reduction products in ca. 1:4 and 4:1 ratios, respectively.

One of the topic of interest in the field of the applications of baker's yeast to the production of optically active carbinols through reduction of carbonyl compounds is the definition of empirical rules for the structure of the flanking substituents enabling one to predict the stereochemical outcome of the transformation.¹ For some time now, in the work related to studies on the baker's yeast mediated decarboxylative incorporation of pyruvate into aromatic and α , β -unsaturated aldehydes which gives (25,3R) methyl diols through the intermediacy of (R) α -hydroxy methyl ketones we have been studying the yeast reduction of racemic a-hydroxy and a-acyloxy ketones, bearing at the two sides substituents of quite different nature and degree of functionalization.² Whereas in the former case the reduction proceeds with the prevalent formation of enantiomerically pure anti diols,³ in the instance of the α -acyloxy substrates the product distribution appears more complicated, yielding syn and anti materials of different optical purity, close to deacylated diols.^{4,5} In these circumstances we have been always dealing with racemic substrates ending up into chiral adducts conceivably formed under kinetic control and we thought it was worthwhile to compare stereochemistry and rate of yeast reduction of the two enantiomeric forms of configurationally stable, optically pure a-acyloxy ketones bearing different side chains. To this end we chose as substrates the enantiomeric 4-acyl butanolides 1. Compounds of this type, with R= alkyl from C-2 to $C-10$, thus including (R) - and (S) -lb, recently became synthetically accessible via high

9248 **G FRONZA** et al.

yield sequences from the two enantiomeric forms of glutamic acid. They were prepared⁶ in a synthesis of (+)-exobrevicomin, which included also an extended study of the mode of reduction to the corresponding diastereoisomeric carbinols using a variety of metal **hydrides under different experunental conditions. Products (1) (Scheme**) **appeared particularly suited for our stereochemical studies. Indeed, they are configurationally stable, the dlastereoisomeric composition of the reduction products can be easily**

a $R = nC_{6}H_{12}$ **b** $R = nC_{10}H_{21}$ **c** $R - (CH_2)$ ₂ C_6H_5

Scheme

determlned through 'Ii NM? studies and glc analysis. In fact previous studies on the conflguratronal assignement of secondary dlols' led to establish that generally the antA **isomers show lower freld chemrcal shifts and smaller couplrng constants with respect to** the syn isomers. This rule has been successfully applied to the determination of the **stereochemistry of the reduction products of some #-acyl butanolrdes, and its validity** confirmed in a synthesis of (+)-exabrevicomin.⁶ Thus for carbinols 2-5 the products with *antI configuration* **(49,5R or 4R,SS) show H-5 at ca. 3.9 ppm and J(4,5) of ca. 3.5 Hz,** while for the products with syn configuration, H-5 resonates at ca. 3.5 ppm and J(4,5) is ca. 4.5Hz. In transformation experiments using racemic precursors the enantiomeric **composztion of both surving ketones and of the derived carblnols can be establrshed** through glc and *hplc* analyses, using chiral columns.⁶ Furthermore, carbinols of the type **accessrble by reduction of I-acyl butanolides occur In nature with different alkyl side chains XI** *ant2* **form, but with different optical purrtres' and although the dzect participation of ketonic Intermediates to the biosynthesis of these acetogenrns appears unlikely, It seemed worthwhlle to define the mode of their production through ensymic carbonyl reductron. Accordrngly, products** *(R)-* **and (S)-l,a-c were prepared in 60-70% yields from (9) and** *(R)* **tetrahydro-5-oxo-2-furan-carboxylic acid chloride and 1-hexyl bromide, 1-decyl bromrde and phenethylbromide, respectively, accordrng to the reported** procedure.⁶ Thus $(S)-1$, $(R)-1$ and $(R,S)-1$, obtained mixing the first two together in 1:1 **ratlo, were submitted to yeast reduction in parallel experrments. The product**

drstrrbution rn the transformation mixture obtarned after 4 h fermentrng baker's yeast rncubatron, isolated in 70-80% yield by solvent extraction, is reported in Table 1 and 2. The diastereoisomeric composition of the reduction products was determined by comparison with the reported data⁶ through ¹H NMR studies and glc analysis of the crude mixture, **whereas the enanticmeric purities of the products in the serres with R= n-hexyl and** n-decyl, respectively, was established through glc analysis on chiral capillary column⁶, **and those of products in the series R= phenethyl were determined through** *hplc* **analysrs using a chiral column. However, in this instance, the best separations were observed when the carbrnols were transformed into the bensoate esters. This was achieved separating the** carbinols from the unreacted ketones by column chromatography and transforming the former into the esters upon reaction with benzoyl chloride/pyridine. Examination of the results **of Table 1, relative to the transformations of (S)-1 and** *(R)-1, points* **to the following considerations.**

PRODUCTS FROH THE BAKER'S YEAST REDUCTION OF (8) and (S)-la-c

* GC yields on the recovered material which accounts for 70 to 80 % of the starting ketones

Table 1

In first instance there is the significant change in the transformation rates within the same enantiomeric series, associated with the substitution of the n-alkyl side chain **for the phenethyl moiety. The consequence is the complete reduction of (S)-la and (S)-lb while 75% of (S)-lc survives, and conversely, the survival of ca. 90% of (R)-la and** (R) -1b while ca. 90% of (R) -1c is reduced. Secondly, in the reduction of the **(S)-conf qurated materials 1, the ratio between the syn and** *antI* **carbinols 2 and 3 changes from ca. 6:4 for the products with the aliphatzc side cham to 1:4 for the**

products bearing the phenethyl moiety, respectively. Conversely, within the R-configurated series 2 carbonyl reduction takes place exclusively onto the si face in the case of (R) -la and (R) -lb to produce the syn (R) - configurated carbinols 4a and 4b, whereas in the case of (R) -1c syn-4c is produced in ca. 7:2 ratio with the anti **diastereoisomer SC. Thus, the face selectivity in the yeast- mediated reduction of the** carbonyl moieties present in enantiomeric 4-acylbutanolides bearing the aliphatic side chain is dramatically influenced by the absolute configuration of the attached α -lactone **moiety. The same phenomenon is much less marked within the set of products with the phenethyl wide chain. The trends observed in the reduction experiments of enantiomerically pure 1 and 2 are confirmed when the racemic** materials **(R,S)-1 were submitted to the yeast reduction . As consequence of the kinetic resolution,** enantiomerically pure (S)-lc, were obtained in reasonable yields as survived materials. **Subsequent experiments indicated that at 24 h incubation (R)-lb yields 56% of syn 4b** without noticeable presence of the anti diastereoisomer 5b. It thus follows a similarity between the diastereoisomeric excess obtained in the yeast and in the metal hydride reduction of the ketones bearing R= n-alkyl chain, with the notable exception that in the **case of the baker's yeast this happens only in the case of the** *(R)* **enantiomer.**

PRODUCTS DISTRIBUTION FROM THE BAKER'S YEAST REDUCTION OF (R,S)-la-c

*** **Prevailing enantiomer circled**

CC yields of alcohols on the recovered material vhich accounts for 70 to 80% of the starting ketones

Table 2

Indeed, amongst the metal hydrides employed⁶ several showed excellent syn selectivity, as baker's yeast does in the case of (R) -la and (R) -lb. Conversely, the **metal hydrides which gave rise rn the above Instances prevalently to** *antr* **materials**

showed *de* values comparable with those here observed in the yeast reduction of (S) -lc to anti 3c and syn 2c. Seen together, these results, which indicate the dramatic change in **the mode of yeast transformation of substrates with the same functionalities even on subtle structural modifications some of which occurring far away from the place where** the transformation occurs, point to the empiricism still informing the area of applied **enzymology attempting to formulate structural rules for acceptability of non conventional substrates by synthetically useful enzymes. The ongoing experiments on the mode of yeast and metal hydrides reduction of racemic and optically active 5-acylpentanolides should be helpful In the above context.**

Experimental

The synthesis of ketolactones l,a-c has been performed exactly as reported In ref.6, using the inverse addition of the Grignard reagents onto the THF solution of the **two enantiomerlc forms of the chloride of tetrahydro-5-oxo-2-furancarboxylic acid at -78 *C, with yields ranging from 55 to 70%. GLC chiral analysis** was **performed on Megadex 1, permethylated beta cyclodextrin coated with fused silica capillary column, 25 m x 0.25 mm** i.d.. Analysis conditions: 75 °C, 20 °C/min to 165 °C, 165 °C for 2 min, then 1 °C/min to **190 'C, H2 carrier gas. HPLC on chiral column: Daicel Chlracel OD, hexane/lsopropanol 9:1, 218 nm, 0.8 ml/min.**

Yeast reduction. In a 2 L glass jar a mixture is made up composed of 250 g of commercial baker's yeast and 200 g of D-glucose in 1 L of tap water at 32 °C. As the fermentation starts, 5 g of substrate in 5 ml of ethanol are added under stirring. After the required time, 100 g of Celite are added, the reaction mixture is filtered on a large **Buchner funnel, the solid pad is washed with 200 ml of ethyl acetate and the filtrate 1s extracted twice with 200 ml portions of ethyl acetate. The organic phase, once dried,** LB **evaporated, leaving a residue of ca. 4-4-S g. Thie material is subJected directly to NMR and glc analysis and, when required,** LB **chromatographed on silica gel with hexane-ethyl acetate to separate the unreacted ketone from the carbinols. GLC behaviour of (S)-la,** (R) -la and of their reduction products on the chiral column: (S) -la, (R) -la, $2a$, $4a$, $5a$, **and 3a, Rt 29.37, 29.422, 42.505, 45,13 and 47.363 min, respectively. The reduction** products of (S) -1b and (R) -1b were identified by comparison with authentic samples.⁶ **HPLC on choral column: (S)-lc, Rt 36.3 min, (R)-lc, Rt 33.2 min. The carblnols were** separated from the starting ketones by column chromatography and converted quantitatively **into the corresponding benzoates by treatment at room temperature overnight of the methylene chloride solution with 1.1 mol eg of benzoyl chloride and 2 mol eq of dry** pyridine in the presence of 10 mol % of 4-N, N-dimethylaminopyridine. Aqueous workup, **followed by washings with sodium hydrogen carbonate and hydrochloric acid solutrons afforded on evaporation of the dried organic phase the ester mixture. Benzoates of: 2c,** 4c, 5c and 3c, Rt 30.21, 32.75, 38.46 and 52.72 min, respectively.

¹H NMR and analytical data of compounds 1-5

la, R = nC₆H₁₃. ¹H NMR (CDC1₃) δ **4.80 (1H, m, H-4), 2.1-2.7 (6H, m, CH₂-3, CH₂-4 and** CH₂-6), 1.15-1.7 (6H, m, 3 CH₂), 0.89 (3H, dist t, CH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₈O₃: C, **66.64; H, 9.15. Found: C, 66.68; H, 9.10.**

lb, R = n C₁₀H₂₁. ¹H NMR (CDC1₃) δ 4.82 (1H, m, H-4), 2.1-2.7 (6H, m, CH₂-2, CH₂-3 and CH₂-6), 1.15-1.7 (16H, m, 8 CH₂), 0.89 (3H, dist t, CH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₈O₃ : **C, 66.64; Ii, 9.15. Found: C, 66.68; Ii, 9.10.**

lc, R = (CH₂)₂C₆H₅. ¹H NMR (CDC1₃) δ **4.78 (1H, m, H-4), 2.92 (4H, m, S broad, CH₂-6** and CH₂-7), 2.1-2.6 (4H, m, CH₂-2 and CH₂-3), 7.1-7.3 (5H, m, C₆H₅). Anal. Calcd for **C13H14G3 : C, 71.54; H, 6.47. Found: C, 71.35; H, 6.49.**

2a, 4a R = nC_6H_{13} **.** ¹H NMR (CDC1₃) δ 4.42 (1H, td, H-4, J_{3, 4} 7.0, J_{4, 5} 4.5 H₂), 3.50 $(1H, m, H-5)$, 2.0-2.6 (5H, m, CH₂-2, CH₂-3 and OH), 1.2-1.6 (10H, m, 5 CH₂), 0.90 (3H, dist t, CH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₂₀O₃ : C, 65.97; H, 10.07. Found: C, 70.02; H, 10.12.

3a, 5a R = nC_6R_{13} **.** ¹H NMR (CDC1₃) δ 4.42 (1H, td, H-4, J_{3, 4} 7.2, J_{4, 5} 3.5 H₂), 3.89 (1H, m, H-5), 2.1-2.6 (5H, m, CH₂-2, CH₂-3 and OH), 1.2-1.6 (10H, m, 5 CH₂), 0.90 (3H, **d1st t, CH3)**

2b, 4b R = $nC_{10}H_{21}$ **.** ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.40 (1H, dt, H-4, J_{3,4} 7.0, J_{4,5} 4.5 H₂), 3.54 $(1H, m, H-5)$, 2.0-2.6 (5H, m, CH₂-2, CH₂-3 and OH), 1.2-1.6 (18H, m, 9 CH₂), 0.91 (3H, dist t, CH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₈O₃: C, 70.27; H, 11.01. Found: C, 70.37; H, 10.82.

3b, 5b $R = nC_{10}H_{21}$ **.** ¹H NMR (CDC1₃) δ 4.40 (1H, dt, H-4, $J_{3,4}$ 7.0, $J_{4,5}$ 3.5 H₂), 3.90 $(1H, m, H-5)$, $2.0-2.7$ (5H, m, CH_2-2 , CH_2-3 and OH), $1.2-1.6$ (18H, m, 9 CH_2), 0.91 (3H, **dlst t, CH3)**

2c, 4c R = (CH₂)₂C₆H₅. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ **7.15-7.45 (5H, m, C₆H₅),4 41 (1H, td, H-4,** $J_{3,4}$ 7.4, $J_{4,5}$ 4.5 Hz), 3.56 (1H, dt, H-5, $J_{5,6}$ 9.0 and 4.5 Hz), 2.4-3.0 (5H, m, CH₂-2, CH₂-7 and OH), 1.7-2.3 (4H, m, CH₂-3 and CH₂-6). Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₃: C, 70.89, H, **7.32. Found: C, 70.80, H, 7.43.**

3c, 5c R = (CH₂)₂C₆H₅. ¹H NMR (CDC1₃) δ **7.15-7.45 (5H, m, C₆H₅), 4.41 (1H, td, H-4,** $J_{3,4}$ 7.4, $J_{4,5}$ 3.2 Hz), 3.94 (1H, ddd, H-5, $J_{5,6}$ 8.0 and 5.5 Hz), 2 4-3.0 (5H, m, CH₂-2, CH_2-7 and OH), $1.7-2$ 3 (4H, m, CH_2-3 and CH_2-6).

References

- **1. S1h, C.: Chen, C.S.** *Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.Mgl.* **1984, 23, 570; Servr, S.** *Syntheses,* **1990, 1**
- **2. Fugantr, C.; Graeselli, P. in** *Enzymes m Organx Synthesis* **Ciba Symposium 111, Pitman, London, 1986, p 112**
- **3. Fugant1, C.; Graeselli, P; Servi, S.; Spreafxo, F.; Z1rott1, C.; Casati, P.** *J.Org.Chem. 1984, 49, 4087*
- **4. Fronza, G.; Fugantl, C.; Grasselll, P; Servr, S.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985, 26, 4961**
- **5. Pedrocch1-Fantonr, G.; Serv1, 8.** *J.Chem.Soc.Perkzn 1,* **1991, 1764**
- **6. Larchevegue, M.; Lalande, J.** *Bull.Soc.Chlm.Fr.* **1987, 116**
- **7 Dana, G.; Chuche, J.; Ronot, M.** *Bull.Soc.ChIm.Fr.* **1967, 3308**
- **8. Graefe, U.; Erltt, I.** *J.Antzbrot.* **1983, 36, 1592. Relser, M.J.; Kozlowskl, J.P.; Wood, K.V.; McLaughlin, J.L.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991, 32, 1137**

Acknowledgments. We thank Rosanna Bernardi for the analytical support and Piano **Finallszato CNR Chimica Fine II for financial support.**